Case 5:23-cv-00913-J Document 14-16 Filed 12/29/23 Page 1 of 7





IN THE COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA

KENNETH H. TIBBETTS,

v.

Petitioner,

MA 2023 196

DISTRICT COURT OF BECKHAM COUNTY,

FILED COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS STATE OF OKLAHOMA

Respondent.

MAR 1 0 2023

JOHN D. HADDEN CLERK

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF MANDAMUS

COMES NOW the Petitioner, KENNETH H. TIBBETTS, and moves this Court of a writ of mandamus pursuant to *Title 12*, *Oklahoma Statutes*, *Section 1451*, *et seq.*, directing the District Court of Beckham County to entertain and act upon Petitioner's *Application for Post-Conviction Relief*, as styled in *Tibbetts v. State*, *CF-23015-188*.

In further support thereof, Petitioner would show this Court the following:

I. CLEAR LEGAL RIGHT TO RELIEF SOUGHT:

Oklahoma's Post-Conviction Procedure Act, as codified in *Title 22, Oklahoma Statutes*, *Sections 1080 through 1087, et seq.*, provides a statutory remedy for *any* person, who has been convicted, or sentenced, for a crime to seek relief from such unlawful conviction or sentence.

On May 16, 2018, Petitioner filed his first application seeking post-conviction relief, in which he raised the following claims:

PROPOSITION I:

THE WAIVER DOCTRINE FOUND IN 22 O.S. §1086 DOES

NOT APPLY TO FUNDAMENTAL CONSTITUTIONAL

CLAIMS.

PROPOSITION II:

PETITIONER WAS DENIED CONSTITUTIONALLY EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL DUE TO AN ACTUAL CONFLICT OF INTEREST WITH HIS RETAINED

COUNSEL OF RECORD.

TO FREE OFFICE

PROPOSITION III: THE DISTRICT COURT OF BECKHAM COUNTY WAS

WITHOUT SUBJECT-MATTER JURISDICTION TO

PROSECUTE PETITIONER.

PROPOSITION IV: PETITIONER WAS DENIED CONSTITUTIONALLY

EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF COUNSEL DUE TO THE DEFICIENT PERFORMANCE OF HIS ATTORNEY AND THE

RESULTING PREJUDICE PETITIONER SUFFERED,

WHICH WAS THE PROXIMATE RESULT OF

CONFLICTING INTERESTS.

PROPOSITION V: PETITIONER WAS DENIED CONSTITUTIONALLY

EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF APPELLATE COUNSEL.

On December 19, 2018, the State filed its answer to Petitioner's application. In light of unrelated litigation regarding the sovereignty of "Indian lands", and those persons prosecuted therein, Petitioner's post-conviction action was apparently stayed by the District Court, though no such order appears in the OSCN Case Details. (See Exhibit A)

It is now evident, more than four (4) years later, that the District Court of Beckham County has dropped the ball in Petitioner's case, by failing to adjudicate his post-conviction application.

II. PLAIN LEGAL DUTY OF RESPONDENT:

The duty of Respondent is found in 22 O.S. §1083 and §1084 of Oklahoma's Post-Conviction Procedure Act. §1083 specifically imposes a duty upon the district court to adjudicate the post-conviction application, based upon the facts presented. And, where a material issue of fact remains, §1084 mandates that the district court conduct an evidentiary hearing.

In this case, Petitioner contends that material issues of fact are evident, and there is a necessity, in the interests of justice, that the district court conduct an evidentiary hearing to dispose of such facts. The record in this case is insufficient to establish appropriate finding of facts and conclusions of law.

III. ADEQUACY OF MANDAMUS -- UNAVAILABILITY OF OTHER RELIEF:

Mandamus is the only remedy known to Petitioner to compel the performance of the Court to act upon his *Application for Post-Conviction Relief.* In light of Petitioner's "clear legal right" and the Respondent's "plain legal duty", mandamus is both adequate and appropriate.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, premises considered, Petitioner would ask this Court to direct Respondent to respect the spirit of Article II, Section 6, of the Oklahoma Constitution, as well as the Fourteenth (14th) Amendment to the United States Constitution, and provide him the necessary court access in Beckham County to adjudicate his meritorious claims of state and federal constitutional violation that entitles him to relief.

Respectfully submitted,

Klnneth H. Dibletts
Kenneth H. Tibbetts, Petitioner

#122414 (JHCC)

P.O. Box 548

Lexington, OK. 73051

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kenneth H. Tibbetts, do hereby certify under the penalty of perjury, that I mailed true and correct copies of the foregoing Petition for a Writ of Mandamus to the below-named officials, on this the 27 day of February, 2023:

Leasa Hartman, Court Clerk District Court of Beckham County 104 S. 3rd St. Sayre, OK. 73662 Clerk of the Appellate Courts 2100 N. Lincoln Blvd., Ste. 4 Oklahoma City, OK 73105

Kenneth H. Libbetts
Kenneth H. Tibbetts, Petitioner



The information on this page is NOT an official record. Do not rely on the correctness or completeness of this information. Verify all information with the official record keeper. The information contained in this report is provided in compliance with the Oklahoma Open Records Act, 51 O.S. 24A.1. Use of this information is governed by this act, as well as other applicable state and federal laws.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR BECKHAM COUNTY, OKLAHOMA

No. CF-2015-00188 (Criminal Felony)

STATE OF OKLAHOMA V. KENNETH HAROLD TIBBETTS

Filed: 05/26/2015

Judge: HAUGHT, HONORABLE DOUG

PARTIES

TIBBETTS, KENNETH HAROLD, Defendant ELK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT, ARRESTING AGENCY COOK, JOHN #2, ARRESTING OFFICER

ATTORNEYS

Attorney

MARSEE, MS. ANGELA C. DISTRICT ATTORNEY P. O. BOX 36 ARAPAHO, OK 73620

YOHN, MR. RICHARD PO BOX 1494 CLINTON, OK 73601 Represented Parties

EVENTS

Event Party Docket Reporter

Tuesday, June 9, 2015 at 1:30PM
ATTORNEY DATE
Thursday, July 30, 2015 at 9:00AM
BOND HEARING

Tuesday, September 1, 2015 at 9:00AM PRELIMINARY HEARING

EXHIBIT A

*07-07-2016 [TEXT]

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

HAD ON THE 30TH DAY OF MARCH, 2016 BEFORE THE HONORABLE

DOUG HAUGHT DISTRICT JUDGE.

07-07-2016 [TEXT]

CONT'D

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

07-13-2016 [TEXT]

JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE ISSUED

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

07-15-2016 [TEXT]

JUDGMENT AND SENTENCE RETURNED

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

07-21-2016 [TEXT]

NOTICE OF COMPLETION OF RECORD FOR APPEALS FROM

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

DISTRICT COURT

07-25-2016 [TEXT]

REQUEST TO TRANSMIT APPEAL RECORDS

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

07-25-2016 [TEXT]

\$ 200.00

PREPARING AND TRANSMITTING CF/CM RECORD ON APPEAL

(NON-JURY TRIAL)

07-25-2016 [TEXT]

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

07-06-2017 [TEXT]

\$ 10.00

OTC: WARRENT INTERCEPT MAILED TO OTC

09-15-2017 [TEXT]

SUMMARY OPINION DENYING CERTIORARI

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

09-15-2017 [TEXT]

MANDATE

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

05-16-2018 [TEXT]

APPLICATION FOR POST-CONVICTION RELIEF

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

12-19-2018 | TEXT J

STATE'S ANSWER TO PETITIONER'S APPLICATION FOR POST

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

CONVICTION RELIEF



SUPREME COURT OF OKLAHOMA CLERK OF THE APPELLATE COURTS

John D. Hadden

March 2, 2023

Kenneth Tibbetts #122414 JHCC P.O. Box 548 Lexington, OK 73051

Dear Mr. Tibbetts:

Receipt of the Petition in Error, for filing with the Court of Criminal Appeals, is hereby acknowledged, however the Petition cannot be filed at this time.

The Rules of the Court of Criminal Appeals provide that each Petition must be accompanied by the filing fee of \$50.00 or a completed Affidavit in Forma Pauperis, before an appeal number shall be assigned. Upon receipt of the Petition, I did not locate either the filing fee or affidavit. I am enclosing a sample affidavit for your information and I will retain the Petition pending timely receipt of the filing fee or affidavit.

Please be advised that this does not extend your filing deadline. If you do not provide a completed affidavit or required filing fees by your filing deadline, your Petition may be considered out of time and dismissed. Due to the fact the payment of filing fees is based upon the cost for filing documents with this Court, any filing fees submitted on Petition that are determined by the Court to be out of time will not be refunded.

If this office can be of further assistance, please contact us.

Sincerely,

Candace Junear

Deputy Clerk

OCCAOnline Rules of the Court of Criminal Appeals

MAR 10 2023

CLERK OF THE APPELL NIE COURTS